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Abstract

Background: The impact of gender differences in the labor market cannot be neglected when studying job satisfaction among doctors. This study aimed to assess the gender differences in a ten-items Warr–Cook–Wall (WCW) job satisfaction scale among Iraqi medical doctors.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted between January and June 2014 in Iraq. The multistage sampling technique was recruited to collect the data from 20 health institutions. A total of 576 doctors returned the self-administered questionnaire (response rate= 87.3%). Student t-test and the Pearson correlation tests were used to analyzing the data.

Results: Female doctors rated the overall job satisfaction index significantly higher than their peer male colleagues (P <0.001). Findings of student t-test showed a significant gender difference; women doctors appeared more satisfied than men in the freedom to choose the method of working, satisfied with their colleagues and fellow workers, amount of responsibility given to them, income, opportunity to use their abilities, hours of work and the amount of variety in the job. In contrast, the Pearson correlation coefficient results indicated a high satisfaction among male doctors than females in terms of recognition for good work (P =0.02) and the physical working condition (P =0.04), respectively.

Conclusion: Analysis of data based on indices rather than the only overall job satisfaction appeared as an efficient method to understand gender differences. The possibility of increasing the representation of women in recognition and awards may raise the level of job satisfaction.
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Background

The differences between male and female physicians in terms of job satisfaction have been discussed widely in the literature [1-6]. The gender gap for overall job satisfaction level was to favor women in some studies [1,2] and is similar [3,4] or favor the men in other studies [5]. Mora et al. [5] indicated that overall job satisfaction was the predominant approach in research; however, studying each domain of the job satisfaction scale alone may help to understand the real satisfaction at the individual level. When considering the domain of work-related income: it is widely reported as an essential indicator of satisfaction. Khanuja et al. [3] found that the ‘workload,’ relation with colleagues, and ‘autonomy’ were significantly related domains with less satisfaction among female doctors. Goetz et al. [1] found that out of ten items Warr–Cook–Wall (WCW) job satisfaction scale, four domains; ‘freedom of working method,’ ‘recognition for work,’ ‘hours of work’ and ‘amount of variety in the job’ have been rated higher among women doctors compared to men [1]. Van ham [9] found that ‘lack of recognition’ and ‘heavy workload’ were among the variables related to job dissatisfaction.

Working hours continued to be a severe factor influencing the continuity and productivity of work. Both efficiency and the quality of provided services reduced significantly with longer working hours [10]. Women doctors, especially the young age group, often prefer flexible workplaces in terms of working hours and the number of patients [11,12]. Van Hassel et al. [13] found that gender significantly affected working hours between male and female general practitioners (GPs); female GPs spent fewer hours at work than their peer male GPs. Practicing
medicine is hard work and requires enough patience and endurance. Both gender and generation have an impact on choosing medicine as a future career and specialization. Sanfey et al. [14] found that women were significantly influenced by the decision to have a family than their men. Women are spending more time taking care of their children than staying in paid work [15]. Historically, the proportion of males attending medical schools was higher than in women. However, the reverse happened gradually over the last three decades [16]. Indeed, most of the barriers that prevented women from entering medicine did not exist now. Medical schools in the world, including the Arab region, have witnessed unprecedented proportions in the number of female students, and the percentage exceeded half in some universities [16,17]. Coinciding with the growing number of women graduates in medical schools and its consequences on the workforce market, further research to assess the impact of sex on overall job satisfaction and other domains of job satisfaction became inevitable. This study aimed to assess the gender differences in job satisfaction by surveying medical doctors currently working in Iraq.

Methods

Study Setting and Population
A cross-sectional study was conducted to test the gender differences in job satisfaction domains among medical doctors. Retrospective information retrieved from a previous project intended to test the job satisfaction and turnover intention among a national sample of Iraqi doctors where the design, sampling, and data collection have been reported in detail [6]. The sample size was calculated based on Fisher’s formula to estimate a single proportion [18]. The standard deviation was set at a 95% confidence interval; prevalence assumed at 50% with the allowable margin of error of 5%.

Fisher’s formula: \( N = \frac{Z_a^2 \times P \times Q}{(M.E.)^2} \) gave a sample of six hundred respondents. The minimum sample size was rounded up to 660 when 10% of the minimum sample size added for “non-response, inappropriately filled or missing questionnaires.” A multistage sampling technique used to collect the sample from twenty major general hospitals and medical centers covering the main five geographical regions in Iraq (north, west, south, central, and the capital city (Baghdad).

An average of thirty-three doctors per hospital selected randomly. In the current analysis, only gender differences among doctors were assessed and discussed. At the time of the study, all Iraqi physicians working in the selected hospitals and centers and willing to participate included. A well-trained team was recruited to collect the data between January and July 2014. All the eligible doctors received one copy of a self-administered questionnaire manually. The chief medical officers (CMO) and the hospital managers were excluded from this study. Finally, responses were received from 576 doctors.

Measures

All participants were asked to fill in the questionnaire in its two parts: (1) Sociodemographic factors such as age, gender, marital status, bearing children, and professional factors such as the presence of specialty, years of service, employment type, working hours and the opportunity for education and training. (2) Job satisfaction was measured with the previously validated version of the 10-item Warr–Cook–Wall (WCW) job satisfaction scale developed by Warr et al. [19]. The WCW instrument measures overall job satisfaction “Taking everything into consideration, how do you feel about your job?” and satisfaction with nine aspects of work with each item rated on a 7-point Likert scale (1 = very dissatisfied to 7 = very satisfied). A higher overall mean score indicates higher job satisfaction”. Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of the job satisfaction scale was excellent (0.97). The questionnaire presented in the English language and test piloted among 20 physicians.

Statistical Methods

The “Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS)” version 16.0 was recruited to analyze data. Data presented as mean (M) and standard deviation (SD). Descriptive analysis for sociodemographic performed. An independent-sample t-test was run to determine the significant differences between male and female doctors for the overall job satisfaction index and the nine individual indices hypothesized to configure job satisfaction in the WCW scale. T-test statistics used to compare the means of two distributions with unequal variances and unequal numbers of observations. Correlation analyses between genders were conducted using the method described by Fisher [20] and recently tested by Carvajal et al. (2018) [21]. The procedure depends on transforming the Pearson correlation coefficient values (r values) of the nine indices into z scores and determined the observed z test statistic. The formula, as shown below:

\[ Z_{\text{observed}} = \frac{x_{\text{ma}} - x_{\text{fe}}}{\text{square root of } \left[ \frac{1}{n_{\text{ma}}} - \frac{1}{n_{\text{fe}}} \right]} \]

Where

- \( x_{\text{ma}} = 0.5 \ln(1+r) - \ln(1-r) \)
- \( x_{\text{fe}} = 0.5 \ln(1+r) - \ln(1-r) \)

\( z_{\text{ma}} \) was the male physicians’ Pearson correlation coefficient for the \( i \)th index;

\( z_{\text{fe}} \) was the female physicians’ Pearson correlation coefficient for the \( i \)th index;

\( n_{\text{ma}} \) was the number of male physicians in the sample;

\( n_{\text{fe}} \) was the number of female physicians in the sample; and

\( i = 1, 2, \ldots, 10 \) for the overall job satisfaction index, and the nine indices hypothesized to configure job satisfaction. The “ln” is the natural log.

Then the statistical significances are estimated by checking the Z observed values with the corresponding critical values. Level of significance (two-tail tests) identified at \( p \leq 0.05 \). In the Pearson correlation coefficient the absolute values (r values) were categorized into three groups: (1) the values of less than 0.3 viewed as a weak link; (2) the values from 0.3 to 0.69 indicated of a moderate link; and (3) the values of 0.7 and above considered as indicative of a robust joint variation between the overall job satisfaction index and the nine indices.

Results

Descriptive analysis

Five hundred and seventy-six completed questionnaires were analyzed. Mean age (±SD) was 40.43 years (±8.59), male 39.4 (±8.71), female 41.31 (±8.40). More than one-half of the participants (310, 53.8%) were women compared to (266, 46.2%) men. More women (59.2%) than men (40.8%) were married. The highest percent (55.5%) of doctors with
professional experience of more than ten years were women. More than half of doctors in the sample have a double work in the public and private sector (37.7% for men and 62.3% for women).

Table 1 shows the sociodemographic factors in relation to gender (n=576)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Male N (%)</th>
<th>Female N (%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of observations</td>
<td>266(46.2)</td>
<td>310(53.8)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status (married)</td>
<td>151(40.8)</td>
<td>219(59.2)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearing children</td>
<td>137(46.4)</td>
<td>158(53.6)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional experience</td>
<td>188(44.5)</td>
<td>234(55.5)</td>
<td>0.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of &gt; 10 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialist</td>
<td>112(48.9)</td>
<td>117(51.1)</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government and private</td>
<td>121(37.7)</td>
<td>200(62.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working more than 40 hours/week</td>
<td>132(49.4)</td>
<td>135(50.6)</td>
<td>0.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunities for education</td>
<td>132(41.8)</td>
<td>184(58.2)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indices

An independent-sample t-test was run to determine if there were differences in the job satisfaction scale between male and female doctors. Table 2 presents the means (M) and standard deviations (SD) of the overall job satisfaction index and the facet indices hypothesized to configure job satisfaction in the WCW scale.

Table 2 Results of student t-test gender differences on 10-items Warr–Cook–Wall (WCW) job satisfaction scale (n=576)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Job Satisfaction</th>
<th>Both gender Mean (±SD)</th>
<th>Male Mean (±SD)</th>
<th>Female Mean (±SD)</th>
<th>t. test</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>576</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Physical working conditions</td>
<td>3.81(1.63)</td>
<td>3.69(1.69)</td>
<td>3.92(1.58)</td>
<td>1.663</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.04-0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Freedom to choose your method of working</td>
<td>4.60(1.79)</td>
<td>3.87(1.79)</td>
<td>4.33(1.76)</td>
<td>3.058</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.16-0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Your colleagues and fellow workers</td>
<td>4.26(1.61)</td>
<td>4.09(1.65)</td>
<td>4.41(1.57)</td>
<td>2.393</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.06-0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Recognition you get for good work</td>
<td>3.89(1.62)</td>
<td>3.77(1.66)</td>
<td>3.99(1.59)</td>
<td>1.592</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.05-0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Amount of responsibility you are given</td>
<td>4.22(1.72)</td>
<td>3.84(1.74)</td>
<td>4.69(1.59)</td>
<td>6.063</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.57-1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Your remuneration i.e., income</td>
<td>4.40(1.70)</td>
<td>4.15(1.79)</td>
<td>4.62(1.58)</td>
<td>3.336</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.19-0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Opportunity to use your abilities</td>
<td>4.54(1.69)</td>
<td>4.21(1.78)</td>
<td>4.81(1.56)</td>
<td>4.336</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.33-0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Your hours of work</td>
<td>4.30(1.75)</td>
<td>3.98(1.77)</td>
<td>4.43(1.71)</td>
<td>3.097</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.16-0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Amount of variety in your job</td>
<td>4.30(1.72)</td>
<td>4.08(1.76)</td>
<td>4.49(1.65)</td>
<td>2.895</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.13-0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Taking everything into consideration, how do you feel about your job?</td>
<td>4.12(1.79)</td>
<td>4.32(1.85)</td>
<td>4.84(1.71)</td>
<td>3.486</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.23-0.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

To the best of researcher knowledge, this study was the first, which discussed the gender differences in domains of job satisfaction among medical doctors in Iraq and Arab region using the WCW questionnaire. In this study, the number of women doctors have slightly exceeded the number of men (ratio 1:0.9). Such findings are in line with the global trend of what is known as the “feminization of medicine” [12,16, 22]. No gender differences were seen in their professional experience, working hours per week, and having a specialty. However, significant gender differences existed with the type of employment and marital status. More women were married, bearing children, and having double work (public and private).

These findings may partly explain the engagement of many Iraqi women doctors in more than one work to secure the family’s economic situation. However, according to the Iraqi Labor Law [23], the opportunity to obtain employment in the private sector is available to all doctors while maintaining the job in the public sector. Bedoya-Vaca et al. [22] reported that the choice of specialization among Ecuadorian women doctors significantly influenced by factors related to the role of women in child-rearing, economic sources, and social situations. Regarding the ten single aspects of the WCW job satisfaction scale, statistically significant gender differences were found in satisfaction with eight aspects, all of which were in favor of women (Table 2). Similar findings have been seen in earlier studies [1-3].
Comparing our findings with the study published by Goetz et al. [1], women doctors were significantly more satisfied than male doctors in three domains of 10-items WCW job satisfaction scale including 'freedom of working method,' 'hours of work' and 'amount of variety in a job' [1]. In fact, because of the socialization identity and the ability to absorb professional disillusionment, women were more prone to adapt to the idea of lower market expectations [2,21,24] and to accept a lower level of remuneration and promotion compared to men [2,21,25].

Furthermore, Constant and Leger concluded that women doctors often provide fewer services than men [11]. However, Miao et al. [2] reported that in addition to a higher level of job satisfaction among women doctors than their men colleagues, they were also superior to men in terms of quality of provided healthcare services. Moreover, the Pearson correlation coefficient results showed that women were less satisfied with 'recognition they got for good work' and 'physical working condition' compared to men [2,21,25].

Finally, it is impossible to establish a causal relationship between the factors because the study design was cross-sectional.

### Table 3 Results of the Pearson correlation gender differences on 10-items Warr–Cook–Wall (WCW) job satisfaction scale (n=576)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Pearson Correlation Coefficients</th>
<th>Z scores</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of observations</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical working conditions</td>
<td>0.638**</td>
<td>0.8423</td>
<td>0.041171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freedom to choose your own method of working</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td>0.8385</td>
<td>0.394436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your colleagues and fellow workers</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>0.6777</td>
<td>0.5657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognition you get for good work</td>
<td>0.622**</td>
<td>0.8291</td>
<td>0.020767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of responsibility you are given</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>0.8199</td>
<td>0.3026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your remuneration i.e. income</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>0.6994</td>
<td>0.6387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunity to use your abilities</td>
<td>0.644</td>
<td>0.7299</td>
<td>0.5011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your hours of work</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>0.8973</td>
<td>0.9540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of variety in your job</td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td>1.0228</td>
<td>0.923759</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statistically significant between genders (p ≤ 0.05)**

The prevalence of emotional exhaustion was high (60%) among the Iraqi doctors who were female, married, bearing children, and experienced unsafe workplaces [29]. A national study conducted in Turkey concluded that at night duties, the young female doctors with experience of fewer than five years were at high risk for workplace violence than their counterparts [30].

While this study attempts to provide a new and more in-depth understanding of gender differences in terms of job satisfaction domains, we believe that further research about the gender differences in terms of burnout, work stress, and workplace violence would be of great benefit for the policymakers to improve the work environment. This study is subject to several limitations. First, although the multi-stage sampling technique was used to collect data at the national level, the deteriorating security situation in Iraq was an obstacle to access many health institutions. Most doctors are contacted in private clinics or homes. Moreover, the doctors’ feelings, emotions and the level of satisfaction may change according to the changing of surrounding environments, especially if we know that this research has done during a critical period related to the Islamic State in Iraq and Syria (ISIS)-led invasion of most of the central and western regions of Iraq in 2014. Second, the English version of the WCW job satisfaction scale has test piloted before it has been delivered to participants; however, the language barrier may be another limitation because participants’ native language is different (Arabic). Third, the self-reported data is more vulnerable to validity and reliability criticism. Finally, it is impossible to establish a causal relationship between the factors because the study design was cross-sectional.

### Conclusion

This study concluded that there was a gender difference on the ten items Warr–Cook–Wall (WCW) job satisfaction scale. Women doctors were more satisfied than men in most domains; however, they were unsatisfied with the recognition and the physical working conditions. The findings of this study add to the global efforts to understand the gender differences in job satisfaction. More attention should be given to assess women's
dissatisfaction with the widened gender gap in terms of recognition awards for good work.
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